

<b>1. DATE - TIME GROUP</b> 8 April 65 09/0330Z	<b>2. LOCATION</b> Dundee, Minnesota
<b>3. SOURCE</b> Civilian	<b>10. CONCLUSION</b> UNRELIABLE REPORT <i>2/18</i>
<b>4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS</b> One	Investigation Official regarded individual as an unreliable observer. No further action will be taken.
<b>5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION</b> 2 Minutes	<b>11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS</b> Observer estimated size of object at approx 6 ft in diameter. When object first appeared the color was not discernible except as a brilliant light and then as 2 bright lights inside of an object. When the door to the object was closed the light was extinguished and the object appeared to be of a metallic color. The light which appeared to be inside resembled that of an arc welding light. Observer's attention was called to the object because of its interference with his radio. The light appeared when the object was hovering near the ground, approx 150 ft from the ground and 100 yds from the observer. Distance of object, 150 ft above the ground approaching from the NW, 45 deg elevation from the observer, 360 ft at disappearance. Object traveled in a circular pattern to the E and then S. Period of disappearance 2 1/2 hours. First distance of 3/4 mile. Object was not seen again until 10 days after actual observation.
<b>6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION</b> Ground-Visual	
<b>7. COURSE</b> Varied	
<b>8. PHOTOS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	



INCOMING  
MESSAGE

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH

UNCLASSIFIED

AF IN : 14173 (4 May 65) G/gem

Page 1 of 4

ACTION: NIN-7

INFO : XOP-1, XOPX-8, SAFOS-3, DIA-1 (21)

SMB C012 ZCHQD106ZCEJD737

RR RUEAHQ

DE RUCMSXB 1 03/1800Z

ZNR

R 302030Z

FM 787TH RADAR SQ CHANDLER AFS MINN

TO RUMGALE/ADC ENT AFB COLO

RUCMRG/29ADIV RICHARDS GEBUR AFB MO

RUCOGU/AFSC WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OHIO

RUEAHQ/CSAF

RUEAHQ/OSAF WASH DC

INFO RUCMSX/SCADS SIOUX CITY MUNI APRT IOWA

BT

UNCLAS 7870AC 00368 APR 65. FOR ADOIN, 29NINT, FOREIGN

TECHNOLOGY DIVISION AT WRIGHT PATTERSON, AFNIN, SAFOI. INFO

SCODC-1. SUBJ: U F O. THE FOLLOWING REPORT IS SUBMITTED IN

ACCORDANCE WITH AFR 200-2. A. DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT. (1) CIRCULAR (OVAL). (2) UNKNOWN. OBSERVER COULD NOT DESCRIBE THIS OBJECT

IN THIS MANNER. HIS ESTIMATE SIZE WAS APPROX 6 FT IN DIAMETER.

DEPTH COULD NOT BE DETERMINED. (3). WHEN OBJECT FIRST APPEARED

TO	CYS
OS	
OSA	
OSP	
US	
RR	
IL	
ILA	
ILI	
ILP	
ILS	
ILT	
FM	
RD	
MP	
MPP	
MPR	
AA	
AAA	
AAH	
OC	
OT	
LL	
BB	
BBB	



AF IN : 14173 (4 May 65)

Page 2 of 4

THE COLOR WAS NOT DISCERNIBLE EXCEPT AS A BRILLIANT LIGHT AND THEN  
AS 2 BRIGHT LIGHTS INSIDE OF AN OBJECT. WHEN THE DOOR TO THE  
OBJECT WAS CLOSED THE LIGHT WAS EXTINGUISHED AND THE OBJECT

PAGE 2 RUCMSXB 1 UNCLAS

APPEARED TO BE OF A METALIC COLOR. (4). ONE. (5) NOT APPLICABLE.  
(6) ONLY THE DOOR AND THE MANEUVER OF CLOSING IT. THE OBSERVER  
SURMISED THAT IT MUST HAVE BEEN CLOSED ELECTRONICALLY BECAUSE NO  
PERSON OR APPARATUS WAS SEEN. THE DOOR WAS ROUND CORRESPONDING TO  
THE OPENING FROM WHICH THE LIGHT WAS COMING. (7) NINE. (8) NO  
SOUND WAS HEARD FROM THE OBJECT, HOWEVER THE OBSERVER'S ATTENTION  
WAS CALLED TO THE OBJECT BECAUSE OF ITS INTERFERENCE WITH HIS  
RADIO (STATIC). (9) THE LIGHT WHICH APPEARED TO BE INSIDE  
RESEMBLED THAT OF AN ARC WELDING LIGHT ACCORDING TO THE OBSERVER.  
THE LIGHT APPEARED WHEN THE OBJECT WAS HOVERING NEAR THE GROUND,  
APPROX 150 FT FROM THE GROUND, APPROX 200 YDS FROM THE OBSERVER.  
B. DESCRIPTION OF COURSE OF OBJECT. (1) INTERFERENCE (STATIC)  
OF HIS RADIO WHICH LEAD HIM TO INVESTIGATE OUTSIDE OF HIS FARM  
HOUSE. (2) APPROX 150FT ABOVE THE GROUND APPROACHING FROM THE  
NORTHWEST. AND ANGLE OF APPROX 45 DEGREES FROM THE OBSERVER.  
(3) APPROX 300 FT AT DISAPPEARANCE. (4) OBJECT TRAVEL NORTH TO



RECEIVING  
MESSAGE

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH

UNCLASSIFIED

AF IN : 14173 (4 May 65)

Page 3 of 4

SOUTH ASCENDING FROM 180 FT TO LEVELING AT APPROX 300 FT AT  
DISAPPEARANCE. OBJECT TRAVELED IN A CIRCULAR PATTERN TO THE EAST  
AND THEN SOUTH. (5) INSTANTANEOUSLY TO THE SOUTH OBJECT SEEMED  
TO DISAPPEAR AFTER THE DOOR WAS CLOSED. (6) APPROX 2 MINUTES AT  
PAGE 3 RUCMSXB 1 UNCLAS

A DISTANCE OF 3/4 MILES FROM THE OBSERVER. C. MANNER OF OBSERVA-  
TION. (1) GROUND - VISUAL. (2) NONE. (3) NOT APPLICABLE.

D. TIME AND DATE OF SIGHTING. TKQL 0 APRIL 65 - 0330Z.

(2) NIGHT. E. LOCATION OF OBSERVER. 1 MILE SOUTHWEST OF  
DUNDEE, MINNESOTA, 8 MILES SOUTH EAST OF FULDA, MINNESOTA IN THE  
TOWN OF KINDRAE, MINNESOTA. F. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION ON OBSERVER.

(1) ~~REDACTED~~, ESTIMATED AT 55-65 (WOULD NOT REVEAL AGE)  
KINDRAE, MINNESOTA. RELIABILITY - DOUBTFUL - BASED ON FACTS AS  
INDICATED IN COMMENTS OF PREPARING OFFICER. (2) N/A. G. WEATHER  
AND WINDS AT SIGHTING. (1) NICE EVENING, NO WINDS, CLEAR SKY.  
(2) NOT AVAILABLE. (3) 600 FT. (4) 2 MILES WITH FOG. (5) 6  
TO 9 TENTHS (OVERCAST). (6) NONE. (7) 40 DEGREES. H. THE  
WINDS WERE CALM, BLOWING FROM THE NORTHEAST. (0400Z WEATHER).

I. NONE. J. NONE. K. UNIT INTELLIGENCE OFFICER COMMENTS.

OBSERVER REPORTED THE SIGHTING 20 DAYS AFTER THE ACTUAL OBSERVA-



INCOMING  
MESSAGE

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH

UNCLASSIFIED

AF IN : 14173 (4 May 65)

Page 4 of 4

TION. THE PATTERN OF EVENTS FOLLOW A LOGICAL SEQUENCE, ALTHOUGH  
THE ELEMENT OF TIME AND AGE OF THE OBSERVER MIGHT BE A CONSIDERA-  
TION WHEN THE COMPLETE PICTURE IS ANALYZED. CREDENCE OF INFORMA-  
TION. AN INTERVIEW BY THE INVESTIGATOR WITH THE OBSERVER REVEALED  
THE FOLLOWING FACTS: OBSERVER LIVES ALONE ON A FARM ON THE WEST  
PAGE 4 RUCMSXB 1 UNCLAS

EDGE OF KINDRAE, MINN. HE IS A RETIRED MAIL CARRIER AND DIVORCEE.  
HE HAS LIVED ALONE FOR THE PAST 3 YEARS. DURING THE INTERVIEW THE  
OBSERVER STATED THAT A SIMILAR INCIDENT (WHICH HE DID NOT REPORT)  
OCCURRED WHEN 2 RUSSIAN MIG AIRCRAFT APPEARED ON HIS FARM. HE  
INDICATED THAT HE SPOKE WITH THEM DURING THIS TIME. HE WOULD  
OFFER NO FURTHER INFORMATION OF THIS INCIDENT. IN VIEW OF THE  
IMPROBABILITY OF SUCH AN INCIDENT IT IS THE OPINION OF THE PREPAR-  
ING OFFICIAL THAT TOTAL CREDIBILITY OF THIS OBSERVATION IS DOUBT-  
FUL.

BT

NNN

N